

Gurmeet Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Gursewak Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Jagtar Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner

-.-

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents No. 2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No. 5.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let five copies of petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

The allegations are that the petitioner entered into an agreement to sell dated 21.11.2012 with respondent No. 5 and paid him Rs. 10,00,000/- as earnest money. Before the registration of the sale deed, the petitioner made enquiries from the revenue department and the concerned Patwari told him that although Khasra numbers exist in the revenue record but the land itself is not registered. The petitioner is stated to have made a representation to respondent No. 2 and a copy thereof has been annexed as Annexure P-4.

In view of the nature of order that is being passed there is no necessity

to issue notice to respondent No. 5 at this stage.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to examine the complaint (Annexure P-4) and in case any cognizable offence is made or any threat to the life of the petitioner emerges upon enquiry appropriate action be taken in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

January 17, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No