

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.2434 of 1991
Date of Decision:18.05.2018

Roop RamAppellant.

Vs.

Chet RamRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr.L.N. Verma, Advocate for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL J.(Oral)

This regular Second Appeal arising out of the decision of the civil suit for declaration of ownership in joint possession in respect of the agricultural land.

Both the counsels appeared in the Court submits that the parties have reconciled and have not contacted them.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the view that the parties must have reconciled with the matter.

With the factual aspect of the matter, appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to revive the appeal in case something survives.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

18.05.2018
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No