

Narinder Singla

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

FIR No. 15 dated 12.02.2018 has been registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur under Sections 408, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC on the complaint of the petitioner.

The allegations are that respondent No. 2 has misused his position as Manager in the business of the petitioner and has taken money from clients but has not accounted for the same. He has also withdrawn money from the business but has not returned the said money.

Respondent No. 2 sought anticipatory bail and the trial Court granted interim protection vide order dated 19.03.2018. Thereafter, he joined investigation and the interim order was made absolute.

The petitioner seeks cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to respondent No. 2 on the ground that recovery is to be effected from him and he is not cooperating with the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that respondent No.

2 has infact joined the investigation. The evidence provided by the petitioner is with the police and the police is at liberty to collect any other evidence that may be

deemed necessary for the purposes of investigation. Mere allegation that respondent No. 2 is not cooperating with the investigation is not sufficient to cancel the bail granted to him. It goes without saying that respondent No. 2 is expected to cooperate with the investigation.

The petition is without any merit and is dismissed.

April 26, 2018
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No