

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-17298 of 2018 (O&M)**  
**Date of decision : 16.07.2018**

Sukhmander Singh alias Mander Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Kulbir Singh Sekhon, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amrinder S. Buttar, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)**

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.38 dated 30.03.2018, registered under Sections 365, 323, 341, 506, 149 IPC at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Gurcharan Singh son of Chilla Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 26.04.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malout wherein it has been reported that

statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

Counsel for the State and respondent No. 2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners and respondent No.2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.38 dated 30.03.2018, registered under Sections 365, 323, 341, 506, 149 IPC at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

**16.07.2018**

mamta-M

**( RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI )  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No