

CRM-M-17293-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17293-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 06.07.2018**

Sikander @ Mannu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Amandeep Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap, AAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM-22421-2018

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Annexures P-11 and P-12 are taken on record.

CRM-M-17293-2018

Petitioner-Sikander @ Mannu has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.177 dated 27.04.2017, registered at Police Station Pataudi, District Gurugram, under Sections 147, 148, 323 and 506 IPC and Section 307 IPC added later on.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-17293-2018

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been got registered by complainant-Ved Parkash. As per the complainant, Manu (present petitioner), who is nephew of Ajit Singh alongwith other accused caused injuries to him as well as Mamta and Neeraj. The injury dangerous to life has been suffered by Mamta.

I have gone through the record. PWs namely Neeraj, Mamta and one other witness have turned hostile and not supported the prosecution version. Even, during his cross-examination, complainant-Ved Parkash has not supported the prosecution version.

Moreover, the petitioner has been in custody since 24.05.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

06.07.2018

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

(INDERJIT SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes

No