

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16393-2017

Date of decision: 20.07.2018

Bhupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Ranjan Bhargava, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simranjeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.129 dated 17.10.2016 under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot on the basis of compromise.

Vide order dated 18.09.2017 the parties had been directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate so as to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of the compromise. However, no

report in this regard has been received from the trial Court. As per report of learned District and Sessions Judge, Pathankot, the parties had not appeared for getting their statements recorded. Even learned counsel for the petitioner stated that he has no instructions in this regard.

In view of the aforesaid position, the petition is dismissed for default of the parties in getting their statements recorded despite a direction having been issued by this Court.

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

20.07.2018
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No