

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17239-2018

Date of decision : 01.05.2018

Pasamveer

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Manish Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Pratap, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

On query to learned counsel for the State, with regard to any MLR pertaining to the injury attributed to the petitioner in the FIR (on the shoulder of the complainant, Sandeep), he submits on instructions from ASI Ram Niwas present in Court to assist him, that he could not produce any such MLR. However, learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the reports submitted under Section 173 Cr.P.C., to the effect that no such MLR is included in the list of documents.

Considering the aforesaid, as also the fact that the petitioner is stated to be more than 65 years old, with no attribution to him as regards any injury on the person of deceased, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail upon his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 01, 2018.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No