

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.17219 of 2018

Date of Decision: 03.10.2018

Sanjay Chauhan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Atul Gaur, Advocate
for Mr. Ram Niwas Kush, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 17.08.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and this fact has been affirmed by learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Ravinder Kumar.

However, learned counsel for the complainant submits that number of cases are there against the petitioner, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in seven cases, he has already been acquitted and in one case, he is on bail.

In view of the above, the interim order dated 17.08.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

03.10.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No