

343

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-213-1991 (O&M)

Date of decision : 20.03.2018

Gathar and others

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Chandan and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. B.R. Rana, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

Mr. Sanjay Pathania, Advocate
for the respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant(s) submits that he has no instructions from their client whether they are interested in pursuing the matter or not, for, the appellants are none-else, but the plaintiffs in the suit bearing No.937 of 1985 titled as “Gathar and others V/s Chandan and others” seeking permanent injunction and in the alternative for possession and mandatory injunction as a consequential relief, which though decreed by the trial Court vide judgment and decree dated 01.12.1988 has been dismissed by the lower Appellate Court vide judgment and decree dated 29.08.1990.

The appeal is of the year 1991. It appears that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the matter, much less, they must have adapted

to the hard ground reality.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

20.03.2018
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**