

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

SUNIL
2018.08.21 17:43
Attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**Crl. Misc. No.M-17091 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 20.08.2018**

Piyush Mittal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Petitioner in person with Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

Complainant in person with Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Report of the Mediator has been received that parties could not arrive at an amicable settlement.

The petitioner has joined the investigation. State counsel says that the LCD, Fixed Deposit Receipt, watch, chain, locket, necklace and four bangles have been returned.

There is however dispute with respect to some articles. No photographs were handed over to the police. The complainant will have to prove that before the appropriate Court.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 30.04.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

20.08.2018

sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No