

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-17081 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 06, 2018

Dharambir Vaid

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition that has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.36 dated 21.06.2017, under Sections 376, 506, 216 of Indian Penal Code and Section 6 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Women, Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that the petitioner has been incarceration for an inordinate length of time.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, on instructions from ASI Dhanpati opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that all the witnesses of the prosecution have now been examined and that statement of the accused

under Section 313 Cr.P.C. has also been recorded. In fact, the case is now listed for final disposal on 04.08.2018 before the trial court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the FIR there are specific allegations of rape by the petitioner herein upon a minor girl, to whom he was giving tuition. In view of the gravity of the allegations levelled against the petitioner and the fact that the trial has reached its fag end, at this stage, this court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the petition in hand is hereby dismissed.

Needless to say, anything observed or said by this court hereinabove shall have no affect on the merits of the case.

July 06, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No