

346/1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 187 of 1991 (O&M)
Decided on: 19.03.2018

Karnail Singh

.....Appellant

versus

Santokh Singh

.....Respondent

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. V.K.Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondent.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent submits that he has been instructed by the client not to appear in this case as his counsel, therefore, he pleads no instructions in this case.

There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. However, the Court has been informed by learned counsel for the respondent that the appellant has since expired and even his son has also expired. There is no legal representative of the appellant who has been brought on record.

In view of the above, the appeals is dismissed as not pressed.

19th March, 2018

shabha

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned - *Yes*
Whether reportable - *No*