

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.16961-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 11.12.2018

Ismail Haq

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr.Vimal Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Nishchal Mann, DAG Haryana

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the FIR No.21 dated 18.10.2016 under Sections 302, 348 IPC main role is attributed to Noor Alam-co-accused. No injury is attributed to the present petitioner. He was named in the disclosure statement made by co-accused Noor Alam. In this case, out of 30 witnesses, 28 witnesses have already been examined and the trial is going to be concluded probably on the next date of hearing.

Learned State counsel is directed to produce the remaining witnesses on the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the evidence.

I am of the view that evidence is to be appreciated as a whole.

As the trial is going to conclude, it will not be proper to comment on the merits of the evidence, as it may prejudice the trial Court while deciding the

main case.

It being so, at this stage, there is no ground to grant bail.

Resultantly, the present petition is dismissed.

11.12.2018
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No