

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.16892 of 2018
Date of Decision: 01.05.2018**

Nitesh Verma @ Nitin Verma

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.213 dated 26.06.2016 registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B, 201 IPC at Police Station Nissing, District Karnal.

As per advertisement published in 'Punjab Kesari' Newspaper on 30.03.2016, an offer was made to install mobile tower by Indus Tower Company @ Rs.45,000/- per month and also providing employment to one of the family member with some amount in advance. Complainant Bakshi Ram contacted

the mobile number as shown in the advertisement for getting a mobile tower installed in his land. Assurance was given by Pankaj Sharma through mobile. Complainant is alleged to have deposited some amount on different dates, however, at a subsequent stage, the complainant found the promise to be a farce.

During investigation, complicity of seven persons was found. ATM cards of the co-accused Toshiba Mansoori were recovered from the house of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Harbhajan Singh however opposed the prayer of the petitioner on the ground that many persons have been duped in ongoing process of cheating. ATM cards were extracted by the accused persons from the innocent card holders in view of providing job and ultimately amount was extracted by the accused. It was done as a scandalous affair.

Co-accused namely Mukesh @ Bholu and Sushil have been granted regular bail by this Court. Co-accused namely Mohd. Zuber, Shubham, Rajiv and Toshiba Mansoori have been released on regular bail by the Court of Sessions. Challan has already been presented, but charges have not been framed so far. Petitioner is in custody since 06.03.2018. Offence is triable by the Magistrate.

At this stage, keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and without meaning anything on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

May 01, 2018.

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No