

293

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.1689 of 2018
Date of Decision: 01.05.2018

Thana Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior D.A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Harpal Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R. No.250, dated 29.12.2017, under Sections 420-424-34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners.

[3]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, respondent No.2 has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Panchayati Compromise (Annexure P-2) which is duly signed by him. The

matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda, vide report dated 06.03.2018, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[4]. Seen Report sent up by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda.

[5]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel, who does not oppose the compromise.

[6]. In terms of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and ***“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466***, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[7]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.250, dated 29.12.2017, under Sections 420-424-34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

01.05.2018

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No