

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-16874 of 2018

Date of decision : 27.04.2018

Parmila

....Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG Haryana.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Petitioner seeks concession of regular bail in a case registered against him under sections 302, 201, 120-B, 420 read with section 34 IPC vide FIR No. 513 dated 29.08.2017 at police station Bhiwani Sadar, district Bhiwani. It has been urged before the court that petitioner has no motive to commit the crime. According to learned counsel, petitioner has no connection with the commission of crime. She has been implicated merely on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Khusi Ram. She, thus, deserves to be enlarged on bail. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail. According to him, petitioner is the main conspirator in the commission of crime. In view of gravity of crime, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

Brief factual background of the case is that complainant Badan

Singh had made a complaint to the police with regard to disappearance of his

son namely Satbir. He alleged that on 09.08.2017, his son Satbir had gone to Bhiwani to meet Naseeb resident of village Rasiwas and since then his whereabouts were not known. Complainant alleged that Naseeb, who was a notorious kind of person, was having illicit relation with his daughter-in-law Pooja. Petitioner was the sister of said Naseeb and she was residing with one Khushi Ram in live-in relation. According to complainant, he has reasonable apprehension that all the aforesaid accused in connivance with each other had killed his son. During the course of investigation, accused were arrested and suffered disclosure statements admitting their involvement in the crime. It is evident that challan has been presented before the competent court and trial is still at its initial stage. Allegations against the petitioner are serious. She is alleged to have committed the murder of son of the complainant in connivance with other accused.

Keeping in view the gravity of offence and nature of allegations, I am of the considered view that petitioner is not entitled to concession of bail. Dismissed.

April 27, 2018

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No