

251

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16871-2016
Date of Decision: 19.02.2018**

Harmeet Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. B.S.Jatana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG , Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikram Satpal Anand, Advocate
for respondent No.2 to 5.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in F.I.R. No.54, dated 16.08.2013, under Sections 308/323/341/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Budhlada, Distt. Mansa, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, respondent Nos.2 to 5 have arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them.

The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of

the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The District and Sessions Judge, Mansa, vide report dated 08.02.2018 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise. Respondent No. 2 is the complainant in this case whereas respondent Nos. 3 to 5 are the affected/injured persons.

[4]. In view of the report of the Additional District & Sessions Judge, Mansa, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondent Nos.2 to 5 have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.54, dated 16.08.2013, under Sections 308/323/341/148/149 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Budhlada, District Mansa, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

19.02.2018

Divyanshi/Seema

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes/ No