

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-16820 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:27.09.2018

Anil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ashwani Bakshi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 10.5.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation. Petitioner has already left the job and nothing is to be recovered from him. Co-accused, namely, Satyawar has already been released on interim bail.

The factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner has been affirmed by learned State counsel, however, he opposes grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that main accused has not been arrested so far.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and all the allegations are matter of evidence, which shall be tested by the trial Court, interim order dated 10.5.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 27, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No