

102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16671-2018
Date of Decision: 14.05.2018**

Avtar Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. M.S. Viridi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Divyadeep Walia, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that his client is unable to place the documents to support the contention that the money taken from the complainant was actually for the treatment of his sisters, since the documents in question are not available any longer.

[2]. On the contrary, Ld. State Counsel supported with the assistance of the complainant's counsel, draws attention of the petitioner's own affidavit dated 19.05.2017, in which he had specifically admitted the allegations in the FIR.

[3]. It is further contended on behalf of the complainant that the story of having borrowed the money for treatment of the petitioner's sisters is clearly an afterthought since there was no reference to the same anywhere in his application for anticipatory file before the Ld. Sessions Judge, Patiala.

[4]. Be that as it may, in view of the prejudicial material available against the petitioner in the Case Diary, this Court is not inclined to grant him extraordinary relief of anticipatory bail at this stage.

[5]. Dismissed.

14.05.2018

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No