

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No. 1137 of 1991 (O&M)
Decided on: 24.01.2018**

Tota Singh

.....Appellant

versus

Punjab State Electricity Board and another

.....Respondents

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. M.L.Saini, Advocate
for the respondents.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

Vide order dated 20.01.2015, it was ordered that learned counsel for the parties be informed about the date of hearing. Mr. M.L.Saini, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the respondents. The office report shows that though the learned counsel for the appellant has been duly communicated about the date of hearing, however, nobody has come present to argue the case.

A perusal of the file also shows that the present appeal was filed by the plaintiff; challenging the enhanced amount of Bill for consumption of electricity; amounting to Rs.26,147.21, which was confirmed against the appellant by concurrent findings of the Courts below.

There is no stay granted against the recovery of this amount by this Court. Rather vide order dated 14.08.1991, this Court had ordered re-connection of the electricity connection of the appellant on depositing of Rs. 5,000/-. Still further vide order dated 03.09.1991, it was ordered that the appellant would keep on paying Rs. 3,000/- per month towards outstanding amount besides paying monthly electricity bill.

In view of above, it appears that the entire amount stands already recovered; that is why; the appellant is not showing interest in the present appeal.

Dismissed as not pressed.

24th January, 2018

shabha

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned - *Yes*
Whether reportable - *No*