

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-15788 of 2017
Date of Decision: 09.05.2018

Yadwinder Singh @ Yaad

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.24 dated 21.03.2017 registered for offences punishable under Sections 379-B read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code (for short, "IPC") and 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Division No. 8, District Ludhiana.

Heard.

As per case of prosecution, petitioner and his co-accused have snatched car bearing registration no. CH-01-AB-2525, currency notes of ₹4200/- and one mobile phone (I-phone 6) having SIM bearing no. 97796-85539 inserted in it, from complainant-Sanjeev Kumar at pistol point in the area of Fountain Chowk, Ghumar Mandi road, Ludhiana. The snatched car was recovered from possession of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is involved in two other similar type of cases. Trial of the case is at crucial stage and grant of bail to petitioner at this stage will provide him opportunity to prevail

upon prosecution witnesses and tamper with its evidence.

Learned counsel for petitioner seeks bail for the petitioner on the ground of parity with his co-accused, who have been allowed bail in this case. However, I am of the opinion that parity is not attracted in this case as recovery of car snatched from complainant was made from the petitioner while from other co-accused, who have been arrested in this case, no recovery was effected.

This bail petition has no merit and the same is dismissed.

May 09, 2018
jk

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No