

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.16624 of 2018
Date of Decision: 21.04.2018

Anand

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Kanwardeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions in the context of standing crop by staking his claim being in possession.

Pointed allegations have been made against respondent No.2 that despite the petitioner having sown the crop, he has not been allowed to harvest the same.

In respect of succession to the property, a civil suit filed by Ashish-respondent No.5 for declaration and permanent injunction is pending in the civil Court at Kaithal.

Precisely for the grievance in question and malafide of respondent No.2, petitioner has already filed a complaint before Deputy Commissioner, Kaithal and Superintendent of

Police, Kaithal on 17.04.2018, but no action has been taken till date.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. S.P. Singh, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without embarking upon merits of the case, it would be appropriate to ask respondent No.4 to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law without being swayed by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

I have no doubt that respondent No.4 would pass necessary order in accordance with law at the earliest.

Disposed of.

21.04.2018

jyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No