

CRM-M-16604-2018 and other connected petitions

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 26.07.2018

1. CRM-M-16604-2018

Hari Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-19668-2018

Balwinder Singh alias Binder Singh alias Binder and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

3. CRM-M-19675-2018

Paramjit Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

4. CRM-M-19840-2018 (O & M)

Ujagar Singh alias Maghar Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CRM-M-16604-2018 and other connected petitions

5. CRM-M-19967-2018

Nanak Chand

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

6. CRM-M-20000-2018

Major Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Amanpreet Kaur Sabharwal, Advocate for
Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-16604-2018.

Mr. Surjit Singh Swaich, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-19668-2018,
CRM-M-19675-2018 and CRM-M-19840-2018.

Mr. G.B.S.Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-19967-2018 and
CRM-M-20000-2018.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM-24425-2018 in
CRM-M-19840-2018

Learned counsel for applicant-petitioner No.15 Kiranjeet
Singh wants to withdraw the present application for grant of interim bail.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

CRM-M-16604-2018 and other connected petitions

CRM-M Nos.16604, 19668, 19675, 19840, 19967 and 20000 of 2018

This order shall dispose of the above mentioned petitions filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.62 dated 25.08.2017, registered at Police Station City-II, Mansa, under Sections 307, 436, 427, 148, 149, 120-B and 188 IPC, Section 4 of the Prevention of Damages to Public Property Act, 1984 and Sections 3 and 4 of Explosive Substances Act, 1908.

Notice of motion was issued in all these petitions. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the same.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Madan Lal. As per the prosecution version, three unidentified persons on their Apache motor-cycle and 10-12 unknown persons came on their vehicles and they were having petrol bottles in a bag and some of them were also having baseball bats and other deadly weapons. They put on fire two cars and also broke glasses etc. of the office of Income-Tax Department. They also tried to put the office of Income-Tax on fire.

As per learned State counsel, damage to the tune of ₹80,000/- to the office of Income-Tax Department and about ₹9 lakhs to the cars has been caused due to the aforesaid act of the accused.

CRM-M-16604-2018 and other connected petitions

Three PWs namely complainant-Madan Lal, Tirath and Gurpreet have already been examined before the trial Court and they have not supported the prosecution version. There is no injury caused to anybody much less dangerous to life. The petitioners have been in custody since long. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping them in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, all these criminal miscellaneous petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

26.07.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No