

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-16568 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:20.11.2018

Sushila

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Admittedly, there was an agreement to sell between the parties and suit for recovery of amount is also pending. Co-accused of the petitioner also approached this Court by way of filing Crl. Misc. No. M-14052 of 2018, wherein, interim order passed in favour of petitioner was made absolute vide order dated 27.9.2018. The allegations are matter of evidence, which shall be tested by the trial Court.

Accordingly, interim order dated 21.4.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 20, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No