

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-16544 of 2018(O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.05.2018

Kashish Singla		...Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ravi Joshi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Saini, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complainant in the case against the petitioner has been examined, with the petitioner having been in custody for the last 3 ½ months, and with 9 to 10 prosecution witnesses still be examined.

Learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that there is no other criminal case registered against the petitioner.

That being so, with the petitioner having been in custody for about 3 ½ months and 9/10 prosecution witnesses still to be examined, I deem it appropriate to admit him to bail during the pendency of the trial.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, which would be gone into by the learned trial court on the basis of the evidence led before that Court, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

23.05.2018

vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)

Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: Yes