

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

238

**CRM-M-15612-2015 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 17.10.2018**

Bant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI**

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K.Chopra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

None for respondent No.4.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate for respondent No.6.

**P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)**

In the present petition, petitioner has sought issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to register an FIR under Section 420, 467, 468, 166, 167, 120-B IPC against (1)B.S.Benipal, Tehsildar (East), Village Gill, Tehsil and District Ludhiana, (2) Karan Jaspal Singh, Patwari Halqa Village Gill (3) Amarjit Singh Dhamotia s/o Rai Singh, r/o street No.8, Maha Singh Nagar, Daba Ludhiana (respondents no.4 to 6) in view of judgment of Hon'ble supreme Court titled "Lalita Kumari Vs. Govt. of U.P. and others" in Writ Petition (Criminal) 68 of 2008 decided on 12.11.2013 and proceeded against them in accordance with law.

2. During pendency of the present petition competent authority has proceeded to consider petitioner's grievance and drawn report which is stated to be adverse to the petitioner to the extent that it is not a fit case for

filing FIR. It has not been questioned while making necessary amendment to the petition, petitioner's grievance has been considered by the competent authority. Accordingly, petition do not survive for consideration. Hence, petition stands disposed of as it has become infructuous. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the inquiry report, in accordance with law.

17.10.2018  
pooja saini

( P.B. BAJANTHRI )  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned?*  
*Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*