

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

114

CRM-M No.16524 of 2018

Date of Decision: April 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2018

Ruchika Parmar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. Umesh Kumar Kanwar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

Petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 6 and have, therefore, highlighted this position in their representation dated 19.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh-respondent No.2.

Counsel contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent Nos.2 and 3, to look into the representation dated 19.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh-respondent No.2 and S.H.O., Police Station Maloya, U.T. Chandigarh-respondent No.3, to look into the representation dated 19.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law, if required.

**April 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2018**

*Puneet*

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No