

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-16438 of 2018

Date of Decision: April 21, 2018

Harcharan Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ajeet Pal Singh Pakka, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for issuance of appropriate directions to the respondents not to harass him or his family.

The averments in the petition are that there was a marital dispute between the petitioner's brother and his wife which resulted in filing of an FIR under Section 498-A etc. of the IPC. All the family members were involved therein. Ultimately, they were acquitted. Meanwhile, FIR No. 12 dated 16.02.2016 under Sections 341, 355, 506, 149, 323 IPC was also registered at Police Station Sri Muktsar Sahib. The petitioner and his brother were granted regular bail therein. After the acquittal in the case under Section 498-A IPC etc., the father-in-law of the petitioner's brother exercised his influence to the police to threaten and harass the petitioner and his family. A representation dated 01.03.2018 (Annexure P-5) has been made in this regard to respondent No. 2 but the same has not yielded any result.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. Let five copies of petition be supplied to him during the

course of the day.

The petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No. 3 to objectively consider the representation dated 01.03.2018 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, if deemed necessary.

April 21, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No