

**234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-16371 of 2018(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:16.05.2018

Sompal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Additional A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

CRM No.16342 of 2018

This application is for placing on record the deposition of
PW-3-complainant Sushil Kumar as Annexure P-2.

Application is allowed.

Annexure P-2 is taken on record.

CRM No.M-16371 of 2018

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr. P.C.,
the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.27, dated 19.09.2016,
registered under Sections 148, 149, 302, 364, 506 IPC & 25 of the Arms
Act at Police Station Sanoli, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has
been implicated only on the basis of general allegations and no specific
role as well as injury has been attributed to the petitioner. Learned counsel

also submits that all material witnesses have been examined and only official witnesses remain to be examined. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that there is no apprehension that the petitioner may win over the witnesses. There are total 23 witnesses out of which 17 have been examined. The trial of the case may take long time to conclude as the prosecution witnesses as well as defence witnesses remain to be examined and no purpose would be served to keep the petitioner behind the bars.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the stage of the trial as well as the custody period but opposes grant of bail to the petitioner.

Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and have also perused the contents of the FIR as well as statement of the complainant including cross examination. All material witnesses have been examined and petitioner has undergone approximately one year nine months. Only the official witnesses remain to be examined. The trial of the case will take long time to conclude and no purpose would be served to keep the petitioner behind the bars. The petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

16.05.2018
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No