

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-16353-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.07.2018

Hira Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Banga, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K.Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No. 305 dated 17.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) u/s 419, 420, 466, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is ready to join the investigation. Even there is no specific role attributed in the FIR for the purpose of committing offence nor he is beneficiary at all.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from SI Jaswinder Kumar, stated that nothing is required to recover from the petitioner nor his custodial interrogation is required and requested to direct the petitioner to join the investigation.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. In view of the role of the petitioner in crime and his custodial interrogation is not required and nothing is required to recover from him,

petitioner is allowed benefit of anticipatory bail. Hence petition is allowed.

6. Petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

7. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bond to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. He shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

20.07.2018

pooja saini

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No