

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-16351 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.09.2018**

Sawrana Rani

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate for the complainant.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 21.04.2018, the petitioner has joined investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from SI Promila Rani.

However, learned counsel for the complainant has opposed grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the property of the complainant has been demolished and the complainant is in possession but it has been brought to the notice of this Court by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has filed a suit for injunction and she is in possession.

The allegations are matter of evidence, which shall be tested by the trial Court during trial.

Since, the petitioner has joined investigation and she is not required, interim order dated 21.04.2018 passed by this Court in her favour is made absolute.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

**18.09.2018
neetu**

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No