

**CRM-M-16347-2018 and
CRM-M-18997-2018**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:03.07.2018

1. CRM-M-16347-2018

Darshan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-18997-2018

Hardev Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-16347-2018.

Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-18997-2018.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-16347-2018, filed by
petitioner-Darshan Singh and CRM-M-18997-2018, filed by petitioner-
Hardev Singh, under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in

**CRM-M-16347-2018 and
CRM-M-18997-2018**

case FIR No.55 dated 16.03.2018, registered at Police Station Civil Lines Bathinda, under Sections 120-B, 419, 199, 420, 471, 465, 467 and 468 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per the FIR, allegation is mainly regarding transfer of ownership of the vehicle and forgery of affidavit.

Learned counsel for the petitioners stated that the present FIR has been registered after eight years of decision of the claim petition arising out of a motor vehicular accident.

Learned State counsel submitted that original affidavit is yet to be recovered, but learned counsel for the petitioners have brought to notice of this Court that original affidavit is already lying in the judicial file as per the statement of Ahlmad, which is placed on record of this file.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 21.04.2018 passed in CRM-M-16347-2018 and dated 08.05.2018 passed in CRM-M-18997-2018 by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending them to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present

**CRM-M-16347-2018 and
CRM-M-18997-2018**

expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in both these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 21.04.2018 passed in CRM-M-16347-2018 and dated 08.05.2018 passed in CRM-M-18997-2018, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

03.07.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No