

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4560-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-08.03.2018.

M/s Hindustan Vegetable Oils Corporation Ltd. Amritsar Banaspati Unit,
Amritsar

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Amritsar and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Nitin Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

1.) Pursuant to the order dated 15.02.2018, there is no assistance in respect of producing relevant statutory provision to contend that second respondent is not entitled to retire at the age of 60 years. Therefore, petitioner has not made out a case.

2.) At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that Amritsar Oil Works Rules, 1977 still hold good in respect of second respondent whose services have been merged with M/s Hindustan Vegetable Oils Corporation Ltd. To that extent, no material has been placed on record to substantiate his contention that M/s Amritsar Oil Works Rules/Regulations relating to employees' service condition would hold good even when an employee service is merged with M/s Hindustan Vegetable

Oils Corporation Ltd. Accordingly, petitioner has not made out a case.

3.) Petition stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 08, 2018.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.