

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM No.4754-CWP-2018 in/and
CWP No.7010 of 1996

Date of Decision : 17.07.2018

Labh Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the applicants/petitioners.

Mr. S.D.Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Anupam Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.4, 5 and 7.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

Case has been called out twice. There is no representation on behalf of the applicants/petitioners.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4, 5 and 7 fairly states that the matter is covered by the ratio of the decision of Full Bench reported as **2014(2) RCR(Civil) 721**. It is also stated by the learned counsel for the respondents that this would imply that the impugned order has to be set aside as the authorities under the Consolidation of Holdings Act would have no power to determine the status of proprietors and non-proprietors.

Keeping in view the aforesaid, the main writ petition is taken on board. The impugned order is set aside leaving the parties to their remedies under the Punjab Village Common Land Act, 1961.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

CM No.4754-CWP-2018 in/and
CWP No.7010 of 1996 -2-

In view of the above, no orders are required to be passed
in CM-4754-CWP-2018 which is also disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

17.07.2018
dss

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No