

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15389-2017
Date of decision: 8.1.2018

Mamta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. K.B. Raheja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that out of total 10 PWs only 3 PWs have been examined and Raman Singh who is the husband of Rekha Devi (deceased) has been examined as PW-3, but he has not supported the case of the prosecution. This fact has not been disputed by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Hari Krishan.

It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in this case the occurrence is alleged to have taken place on

12.07.2016 and Rekha Devi died on 25.8.2016 i.e. after 42 days and the petitioner is in custody since 28.10.2016.

After hearing rival contentions of learned counsel for the parties and going through the paper-book, I am of the view that it would be just and appropriate if the concession of bail is granted to the petitioner. Moreover, the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time, hence no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more. Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case this petition is accepted. Petitioner-Mamta be admitted to bail on her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

8.1.2018
Gaurav Sorot

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | Whether reportable? | Yes / No |
| 2. | Whether reasoned / speaking? | Yes / No |