

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 16237 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION :- August 13, 2018

Sham Sunder

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakeshinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jagdish Sharma, Advocate for respondent no.2.

Petitioner and respondent no. 2 are present in the Court.

Rs.15 lacs has been paid by the petitioner to respondent no. 2.

This fact is admitted by her.

As per report received from Mediation Centre, the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Settlement/agreement has been forwarded to this Court.

Learned State counsel submits that in view of settlement arrived at between the parties, the petitioner is not required by the local police for further investigation. The complainant with her counsel states that she has no objection if petition for pre arrest bail is accepted.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and it is directed that in the event of his arrest in this case, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing surety bond and bail bond to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer on fulfilling conditions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

August 13, 2018
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No