

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-2481-1989 (O&M)

Date of decision : 27.4.2018

Darshan Singh (since deceased) through his LRs Appellant(s)

Versus

Chinti and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. B.S. Maan, Advocate
for Mr. G.S. Nagra, Advocate for the appellant(s).

Mr. Anil Dua, Advocate for
Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate for the respondents.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant-Darshan Singh submits that he has no instructions to appear on behalf of LRs of the appellant.

None of the LRs has appeared in Court, despite service.

Since none has appeared on behalf of the LRs of the appellant to contest the appeal, present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Since the main appeal has been dismissed for want for prosecution, the miscellaneous application pending, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

27.4.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No