

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.16149 of 2018
Date of Decision: 20.04.2018**

Manjit Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Ankit Kharbanda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents No.2 and 3 in the context of their representation dated 06.04.2018 filed before Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala.

Petitioners alleged that marriage of their son Balwinder Singh was solemnized with respondent No.4 Sandeep Kaur on 04.11.2012 at Phagwara. Thereafter, the couple went to Australia and started residing there. In respect of matrimonial discord, respondent No.4 filed a complaint against Balwinder Singh in Police Force, New South Wales, Australia.

Balwinder Singh remained in custody. Thereafter, respondent No.4 came to India and filed a complaint against the petitioners and their son alleging cruelty and demand of dowry. Petitioners claimed that the alleged cruelty took place in Australia only and the allegations in respect of demand of dowry cannot be alleged against the petitioners.

Precisely, for the grievance of the petitioners, they have already approached respondent No.2 by way of aforesaid representation, but the same has not been adhered to.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let requisite copies of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon any reply from respondent/State as no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything on merits of the case this petition can be disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the representation dated 06.04.2018 made by the petitioner and act in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of facts recorded

hereinabove.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 20, 2018

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No