

206-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1612 of 2018**

**Date of decision: March 09, 2018**

Surjeet @ Seetu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

**Present:** Mr. Amit Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Muthreja, DAG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)**

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This is a second petition for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.158 dated 28.09.2016, under Sections 21-B, 61, 85 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS Act'), registered at Police Station Ding, District Sirsa.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the police officer submits that there are 5 more cases against the petitioner for undertaking the same activity, namely for commission of offence under NDPS Act.

This Court had, vide order dated 26.10.2017 passed in CRM-M-3529 of 2017, directed the trial Court to disposed of the trial within 3 months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the trial has not yet been decided and the stage of trial is of recording of statement

of accused persons.

To the contrary, learned State counsel submits that the trial is at the stage of arguments.

In view of the above background and looking to the fact that there are other cases against the petitioner under NDPS Act, I am not inclined to grant him the benefit of regular bail.

Hence, this petition stands dismissed. However, the trial Court is directed to record the evidence under Section 313 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and complete the trial itself within 3 months from today. No further extension shall be granted.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 09, 2018**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No