

211.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16110-2018

Date of Decision: 27.04.2018

Sunil

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Mr.Hooda, learned counsel for the petitioner, points to Sections 489-B and 489-C of the IPC, to submit that actually the offence which the petitioner is accused of having committed, even if the prosecution story is to be taken at face value (though not admitted), would fall under Section 489-C and not 489-B, he only allegedly having been found in possession of bank notes and not having sold or purchased the fake bank notes in question.

Without making any comment at this stage, the charge to be actually framed against the petitioner (if any), by the trial Court, the petitioner also having remained in custody for the past almost 5 months, I consider it appropriate in the aforesaid circumstances, to admit him to bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Ordered accordingly.

April 27, 2018

dharamvir

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO

Whether Reportable : YES/NO