

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.104

CRM-M-16072-2018
Date of decision : 20.04.2018

Vishal Saini

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.93 dated 01.03.2018, registered at Police Station Ambala Cantt., District Ambala, under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly states that earlier a similar petition had been filed, which was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 06.04.2018. He submits that the earlier petition did not contain all the relevant documents and the present petition is more comprehensive in nature and therefore, the petitioner deserves to be granted relief.

On a pointed question, whether there is any change in circumstances since the date of withdrawal of earlier petition, learned counsel for the petitioner answers in the negative.

In view of the above, the second petition is not maintainable and it is dismissed as such.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

20.04.2018

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No