

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M- 16050 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 28.09.2018

Sukhpreet Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. S.S. Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)
CRM-34296-2018

For the reasons set out in the application, same is allowed as prayed for and accompanying documents (Annexure P-3) is allowed to be taken on record.

CRM-34297-2018

For the reasons set out in the application, same is allowed as prayed for. Registry is directed to make necessary corrections in the head note of the petition.

MAIN CASE

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 43 dated 10.05.2017, registered under Sections 363, 366, 376, 342, 109 IPC and Section 4 of the POCSO Act Police Station Kot Fatta, District Bathinda.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in

custody since 22.05.2017 and now the fourth accused has been summoned and again the charge would be framed and the witnesses will have to be examined all over again.

The victim is a minor. In the statement given under Section 164 Cr.P.C., she had made a statement in favour of the petitioner. Her medical was done and spermatozoa was found. It is not a case where the petitioner claims that he had married the victim. No case for bail is made out.

The petition is dismissed.

28.09.2018
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No