

**116**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.15816 of 2018  
Date of Decision: 19.04.2018

Darshan Singh and another

....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present: Mr. Karandeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)**

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to official respondents No.2 to 6 for registration of case pursuant to complaint dated 31.01.2018 filed before Incharge Police Post, Ladhuka Mandi on the subject of making illegal cards under New Atta Dal Scheme. The said complaint was duly supplemented by a representation dated 20.02.2018 filed before Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka i.e. respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in respect of cognizable offence, police is bound to register a case.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case i.e. whether any cognizable offence has been committed or not, respondents No.3 and 6 are directed to look into the grievance of the petitioners. In case, any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, in such eventuality, respondent No.3 would be at liberty to act in accordance with law. If no such cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then the petitioners would be informed as per the parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Disposed of.

19.04.2018

*jyoti*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No