

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

139

CRM-M No.15743 of 2018
Date of decision:19.4.2018

Renu Kalra and another

.....petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Vaibhav Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J (oral)

By referring to background of the case in the context of nature of property and title having vested in favour of Ashok Kumar and his descendent, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that private respondent is instrumental for harassing the petitioners for which representation has already been moved before respondent No.2 on 11.4.2018 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even though formal complaint under Section 154 Cr.P.C. has not been filed before respondent No.3, but respondent No.2 can take cognizance of the controversy where life and personal liberty of the petitioner is at stake.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without embarking upon merits of the case, it would be appropriate to ask respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

Disposed of.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 19, 2018

anita

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No