

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-15742-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 12.07.2018

Vikas @ Billu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Vishal Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for regular bail under the provision of Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.87 dated 30.03.2013, under Sections 302, 201, 120-B, 34 of IPC and Section 25/27 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Sadar Narwana, District Jind.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is in custody for more than 5 years in the aforesaid FIR. Therefore, he is entitled for regular bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposed his submission on the score that petitioner is involved in multiple cases. That apart only two witnesses are required to be examined.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid aspects to the extent that petitioner is in custody for about 5 years in the aforesaid FIR, therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. Petitioner, namely, Vikas @ Billu is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of concerned Chief

Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

6. However, the petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall also not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair trial.

7. The petitioner shall also appear before the Station House Officer concerned on each and every Saturday at 10.00 am and mark his presence.

12.07.2018
pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/ No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No