

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14873-2017(O&M)

Date of decision : 26.02.2018

Sachin and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Bhagwat Dayal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely roped in by the Kurukshetra Police in the occurrence, in support of which he points to a communication from the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, addressed to the DGP, Haryana, on 27.12.2016, a copy of which is annexed as Annexure P-1/2 with the petition.

He further submits that after the petitioner was admitted to anticipatory bail by this Court pursuant to different writ petitions having been filed, the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. was submitted post haste before the competent Court arraigning the petitioners as accused.

Learned State counsel on the other hand submits that, in fact, all prosecution witnesses have also now been examined by the learned trial Court, with only defence evidence left to be led on behalf of the petitioners.

That being so, without making any comment whatsoever with

of their defence, this petition is disposed of with the petitioners naturally at liberty to lead whatever evidence in their defence that they wish to produce before the trial Court.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 26, 2018.
Davinder Kumar/dinesh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No