

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1480-2017

Date of decision: 13.07.2018

HEMINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Petitioner in person with
 Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate.

 Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

 Complainant in person with
 Mrs. G.K. Mann, Advocate.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Pursuant to the order dated 01.02.2018 ASI Sukhwinder Singh was present when the articles which were in possession of the petitioner were handed over. The State has filed the affidavit indicating the goods which were recovered.

The State counsel says that the gold articles were not returned.

On the other hand, the stand of the petitioner is that the gold articles had been returned. The dispute with respect to gold articles remains.

An effort was made to get the compromise effected. The private respondent does not want to stay with the petitioner while the petitioner wants to stay together. There is a demand of Rs.50 lacs by the complainant who is present in the Court.

The petitioner has since joined the investigation. Custodial interrogation is not necessary. The complainant will have to prove at the

trial as to whether the gold ornaments were handed over to the petitioner and his family and remained with them for all these years.

Sunil
22/07/2018
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 14.11.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

13.07.2018
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No