

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.15601 of 2018

Date of Decision: 31.07.2018

Satya Narain

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Chetan Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Short reply filed by respondent-State in the Court today is taken on record. A copy, thereof, has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required as all the documents are in the custody of HUDA department.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mehar Singh, has also affirmed the factum of joining of investigation by the petitioner but he has opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the affidavit has not been filed as per requirement of the advertisement and the proforma was also required to be filled. The intentional concealment of necessary requirement of filing an affidavit is missing in the affidavit.

Since the matter is pending before this Court and all the documents are in the custody of HUDA department and the petitioner has joined the investigation, the interim order passed in favour of the petitioner on 24.04.2018 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

31.07.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No