

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15567-2018

Date of decision : 26.04.2018

Lakhwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Abhishek Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner points to the fact that in the FIR in question, alleging therein the commission of an offence punishable under Section 307 IPC (along with lesser offences), the role attributed to the petitioner, Lakhwinder Singh, is that he hit one of the injured with a *danda* and even as regards the case registered for the commission of an offence punishable under Section 302 IPC, the attribution of gun shot injuries is actually to his son. He is also shown to be 62 years old and in custody for the past more than 1 year and 2 months, with none of the prosecution witnesses stated to be examined so far.

Consequently, keeping in view the above circumstances, without making any comment on the actual involvement or non involvement of the petitioner in the crime allegedly committed, I consider it appropriate to admit him to bail upon his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

Ordered accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

April 26, 2018.

Davinder Kumar