

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15561-2018
Date of decision-19.04.2018**

Balbir Singh @ Bachhi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.277 dated 01.10.2016 registered under Sections 323, 341, 365, 356, 307, 120-B and 506 of Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Kalanwali, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that due to wrong impression about the timing of the Court, the petitioner reached the Court at about 10.05 a.m. and by that time, his bail had been cancelled.

Heard.

The petitioner was allowed bail vide order dated 01.05.2017 (Annexure P-2), however, the petitioner chose not to appear warranting the cancellation of the bail allowed by this Court. At this stage, it is made out that the petitioner has misused the concession of bail. Therefore, no case for anticipatory bail is made out at this stage. However, it is ordered that in case

the petitioner surrenders before the competent Court, his bail application be considered and decided expeditiously.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

19.04.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No